DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 077 024

CS 200 569

AUTHOR

O'Donnell, Roy C.

TITLE

An Instructional Module Designed to Heighten

Awareness of Syntactic Structure. Studies in Language

Education, Report No. 1.

INSTITUTION

Georgia Univ., Athens. Dept. of Language

Education.

PUB DATE

Apr 73

NOTE

38p.

EDRS PRICE

MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

DESCRIPTORS

Deep Structure; English Instruction: *Perception; *Programed Materials; *Secondary Education; *Sentence Structure; Surface Structure; Syntax; *Transformation

Generative Grammar

ABSTRACT

Based on the assumption that awareness of certain aspects of underlying structure is basic to comprehending the meaning of a sentence, the module described in this report (English Sentence Structure: Programmed Exercises) is designed to increase the learner's awareness of English syntactic structure. The materials follow a programmed format with correct responses provided in the left-hand margin for immediate self-checking. Section one deals with basic sentence patterns and their parts and with parts of speech; section two distinguishes surface structure from underlying structure; and section three deals with subordinated sentences and provides experience with various kinds of sentence-combining problems related to exercises in analysis and paraphrase. The level of difficulty of the module was tested on three ninth-grade classes. Results indicate that the module would be appropriate for high school students of average and above average ability levels or for college students who have not had a course in transformational grammar. (The programmed exercise themselves compose the majority of the document.) (See related documents CS 200 570, and CS 200 571.) (HOD)

FILMED FROM BEST AVAILABLE COPY

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE
SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

AN INSTRUCTIONAL MODULE

DESIGNED TO HEIGHTEN AWARENESS OF SYNTACTIC STRUCTURE

by

Roy C. O'Donnell

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS COPY-RIGHTED MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Roy C. O'Donnell

TO ERIC AND ORGANIZATIONS OPERATING TO ERIC AND ORGANIZATIONS OPERATING UNDER AGREEMENTS WITH THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION FURTHER REPRODUCTION OUTSIDE THE ERIC SYSTEM REQUIRES PERMISSION OF THE COPYRIGHT

Studies in Language Education, Report No. 1 Department of Language Education, The University of Georgia Athens, Georgia

April, 1973

Table of Contents

•		Page
Background of the Project	, •	1
Description of Materials	. •	2
Level of Difficulty	, •	3
Appendix	. •	6
Bibliography		36



The instructional module described herein is titled English Sentence

Structure: Programmed Exercises. Although it includes some information

about grammar, its basic purpose is to increase the learner's awareness of

English syntactic structure. A copy of the module is included as Appendix

to this report.

Background of the Project

The effort to develop the module described in this report was stimulated by the findings of Simons' (1970) study of "deep structure recovery" and reading comprehension. Simons found a correlation of .732 between scores on his "Deep Structure Recovery Test (D.S.R.T.)" and scores on a cloze test, and concluded that "the D.S.R.T. is the most important factor in reading comprehension as measured by the cloze test, when compared to I.Q., word knowledge and word recognition skill." In his discussion, Simons suggests that increased knowledge of the strategies used in recovering deep structure should lead to the development of diagnostic tests and then to development of instructional procedures and materials to remedy problems identified by these tests.

Although knowledge of strategies used in recovering deep structure is still severely limited and diagnostic tests are yet to be developed, it seems likely that instructional materials designed to heighten awareness of underlying syntactic structure would be valuable.

The attempt to develop such material grows out of the assumption that awareness of certain aspects of underlying structure is basic to ability to recover the deep structure of a given sentence, i.e., to comprehend its meaning.

Description of Materials

A previous attempt to develop materials similar to those described in this report was made by 0'Donnell und King (1971). Their materials made use of both sentence analysis and re-synthesis, i.e., complex structures were divided into constituent "kernel" structures, which were then re-combined to form paraphrases of the original sentences. For example, students were asked to divide a sentence such as "It was fortunate that Sam had read the book" into two kernels: It was fortunate and Sam had read the book. They were then asked to recombine these kernels to form another sentence with similar meaning, such as "That Sam had read the book was fortunate" or "Fortunately, Sam had read the book." The objective was to develop awareness of underlying structure; it was hoped that such awareness would result in increased skill in deep structure recovery.

Since evidence of the effectiveness of the materials developed by O'Donnell and King was inconclusive, it seemed desirable to attempt a somewhat different approach to developing awareness of underlying structure. The differences between their materials and the module developed in this project are described in the following paragraphs.

A major difference between the two sets of materials is that of format. The O'Donnell and King materials allow for individual differences in time required for completion, but they assume the presence of a teacher who will give initial instructions, answer questions, and check the completed exercises for errors. The materials developed in the current project follow a programmed format, with correct responses provided in the left-hand margin for immediate self-checking.



Another difference is in the content of the materials. The O'Donnell and King exercises provide no information about English grammar and use no technical grammar terms and symbols (except sentence, kernel, and paraphrase). In the materials developed in this project, Section I deals with basic sentence patterns and their parts and with parts of Speech. In Section II, a distinction is made between surface structure and underlying structure.

Underlying structure is represented in two sub-components, a structural index and the structural elements. The lexical items are specified by the structual elements component, and their arrangement in surface structure is prescribed by the structural index. Section III, the longest section of the module, deals with subordinated sentences and provides experience with various kinds of sentence-combining problems related to exercises in analysis and paraphrase.

The two sets of materials also contrast in length, the programmed exercises covering 24 pages and the others 61 pages. This difference in length and the difference in format would require the student to take two or three times as long to complete the O'Donnell and King materials.

Level of Difficulty

The level of difficulty of the English Sentence Structure module was tested on three ninth grade classes at Banks County (Georgia) High School during the month of February, 1973. The exercises were supplementary to regularly scheduled classroom activities. All work was done in the classrooms by students working individually. The following time schedule for the exercises was prescribed: February 1-9, pages 1-9; February 12-16, pages 10-15; February 19-23, pages 16-21; February 26-28, pages 22-24. Two achievement tests were given, Test 1 at the completion of the first 15 pages and Test 2 at the completion of the module.



A total of 66 ninth graders completed the module. Their percentile scores on the Cognitive Abilities, Verbal, section of the <u>Iowa Test of Basic Skills</u> ranged from 3 to 88, with a median of 35. Only 16 students scored above the fiftieth percentile. Percentage scores on achievement tests designed to measure degree of mastery of material presented in the module ranged from 22 to 90 with a mean of 55 and a median of 55. These data are summarized in Table 1. Fourteen students scored 70% or better on the achievement tests. Thus, if 76% is taken as the minimum passing score, approximately one student out of five achieved an acceptable level of mastery of the materials.

Table 1

High, Median, and Low Scores on English Sentence Structure Achievement and ITBS Cognitive Abilities for Ninth Graders

	ESS Achievement (percentage score)	ITBS Cognitive (percentile score)	
High	90	88	
Median	55	35	
Low	22	3	

Assuming the validity of interpretation of scores stated above, it seems evident that the level of difficulty of the materials was not appropriate for the majority of the students who used them. Since no student above the fiftieth percentile on cognitive abilities scored below 60% on the achievement test, it seems likely that the module would be appropriate for



high school students of average and above average ability levels. It might also be appropriate for college students who have not had a course in transformational grammar. Possibly, an adaptation of the materials could be made for the benefit of students of lower academic ability.

A copy of the module and the two achievement tests is included in the Appendix. O'Donnell and Smith (1973) report data on the effectiveness of the module as a means to heighten awareness of syntactic structure.



APPENDIX

- - - !



ENGLISH SENTENCE STRUCTURE: PROGRAMMED EXERCISES

© 1972 by Roy C. O'Donnell

I. THE SENTENCE AND ITS PARTS

	In the sentence "Students read," the SUBJECT is students
	and the PREDICATOR is <u>read</u> .
subject, predicator	Students is the; read is the
	In the sentence "Students read books," the COMPLEMENT is books.
Students, read	is the subject; is the
complement	predicator; books is the
:	In the sentence "Students read books sometimes," the ADVERBIAL is sometimes.
predicator	Students is the subject; read is the;
complement, adverbial	books is the; sometimes is the
complement, adverbial	The four parts of the sentence "Students read books sometimes" are: 1) the subject, 2) the predicator, 3) the, and 4;) the
	In "The girl ate her breakfast, there is a subject,
complement, adverbial	a predicator, and a, but no,
mt. 1.	
The girls	In "The girls same yesterday," the subject is
sang, yesterday	In "The girls sang yesterday," the subject is the predicator is, and the adverbial is



predicator	"Children play" has a subject and a		
complement	but no		
	The subject of a sentence is usually a NOUN or PRONOUN.		
noun	In "Girls smile," the subject girls is a		
pronoun	In "They smile," the subject they is a		
	The predicator of a sentence is a VERB, which is often		
·	accompanied by an AUXILIARY.		
verb	In "Students read books," the predicator read is a		
	In "The students are reading books," are is an		
auxiliary	·		
yerb	In "Children are people," the predicator are is a		
ņoun	and the complement people is a		
complement	In "The children are happy," the happy		
	is an ADJECTIVE.		
tal1	In "Jack is tall," the complement is an		
adjective	•		
	When the predicator is are, were, am, or some other form		
be	of the verb, the complement is sometimes a		
noun, adjective	and sometimes an		



predicator	The complement f	ollowing a	0	ther than a
	form of be is ca	lled a DIRECT	OBJECT.	
	The complement h	ats in "Goats	eat hats," is	a
direct object		<u> </u> •		
	The direct objec	t in "The tea	cher gave the	m e ro
the magazine	me" is	•		
	The adverbial in	"Johnny sent	a letter to S	usie," is a
	PREPOSITIONAL PH	RASE.		
	The adverbial may	y be a si ngle	word like yes	terday or
prepositional phrase	a		like to Susi	<u>e</u> .
	Some basic sentence patterns and their parts are shown			
	below:			
	Subject	Predicator	Complement	Adverbial
	1. Children	play	ø	. Ø
	2. Children	a re	people.	ø
	3. The children	werc	happy.	ø
	4. The children	ate	breakfast.	Ø
	5. Children	read	books	sometimes.
	6. They	gave	their papers	to me.
pronoun	The subject they	in sentence 6	is a	; the
nouns	other five subject	ets are	•	



6,	The adverbial is a prepositional phrase in sentence
4	The complement is a direct object in sentences,
6	d ¹
	When the complement is a direct object, the predicator
	is a TRANSITIVE VERB.
4, 5, 6	Transitive verbs occur in sentences,, and
2.3	The predicator is a form of he in centences



II. SURFACE STRUCTURE AND UNDERLYING STRUCTURE

	When the predicator is a transitive verb, a sentence may		
	be either ACTIVE or PASSIVE in form. "The boy wrote the		
	paper" is active; "The paper was written by the boy" is		
passive	·		
	The passive form of "Children read books sometimes" is		
are, children	"Books read by sometimes."		
	When the predicator is a verb like give or send, the		
	adverbial can be either a prepositional phrase or an		
	INDIRECT OBJECT.		
verb	The indirect object is placed between a transitive		
	and the direct object.		
	The indirect object form of "They gave their papers to		
me	me" is "They gave their papers."		
•	"Billy offered Tom a sandwich" could also be written		
sandwich, Tom	"Billy offered a to These two		
	sentences are essentially the same in meaning.		
written	"The boy wrote the paper" and "The paper was		
	by the boy" have essentially the same meaning.		
	We can change the form of a sentence without changing the		
meaning	essential		



form	When we chang	e the of a senter	nce we change its	
	SURFACE STRUC	CTURE.		
surface	Two different	structures	with the same meaning	
	are said to h	have the same UNDERLYING S	TRUCTURE.	
underlying	To put it and	other way, the same	structure	
surface	may be repres	sented by two different	structures	
	The underlyi	ng structure of a sentence	can be represented	
	by STRUCTURA	L ELEMENTS and a STRUCTURA	L INDEX.	
	The arrangeme	ent of the structural elem	ents in surface	
strucțure		is determined by the s	tructural index.	
elements	The words ar	e included in the structur	eal	
	Structural	Structural	Sentence	
	Index	Elements_		
	DCL:	the-boy-is-happy ===>	The boy is happy.	
	QST:	the-boy-is-happy ===>	Is the boy happy?	
index	In the sente	nces above, the structural	tells	
	whether the sentence will be a DECLARATIVE statement			
	(DCL) or a Q	UESTION (QST).		
surface	Additional i	nformation about the	structure	
structural		ded in the	index.	



index		, the structural	_ distinguishes			
prepositional	It also dis	tinguishes sentences that h				
	phrase,					
	Structural	Structural	Sen t ence			
	Index	Elements				
	DCL, IO:	Jim-gave-his-ring-	Jim gave Sue his			
,		to-Sue ===>	ring.			
	DCL, PSY	the-child-lost-	The book was lost			
		the-book ===>	by the child.			
	If the structural index is QST, a way of distinguishing					
•	one kind of question from another is needed. For					
	example, "I	s Jack happy?" and "Who is	happy?" are both			
questions	, but they do not ask for the same					
•	information.					
	Questions t	hat ask who, what, or how m	nay be indicated			
index	in the stru	ctural by QST-Wi	H.			
	Structural	Structural				
	Index	Elements	Sentence			
	QST-WH	someone-is-happy ==>>	Who is happy?			
•	QST-WH	Jack-has-been-	Where has Jack been?			
		somewhere ===>				



-8-

		•	
	QST-WH	Jin-got-in-somehow ==>	Hor a Jim get in?
	Complete the	following sentences:	
	Structural	Structural	Sentence
	Index	Elements	
₩as	QST:	someone-was-smiling ===>	someone
			smiling?
liho	QST-WH:	<pre>someone-was-smiling ===></pre>	was smiling?
What	QST:	Fred-found-something ===>	did Fred
find			?
Ном	QST-WH:	Barney-climbed-over-the-	did Barney
climb		wall-somehow ===>	over the
			wa11?
Where	QST-WH:	Wilma-was-working-	was Wilma
		somewhere ===>	working?
Dina	DCL, IQ:	Pebbles-gave-a-bone-	Pebbles gave
		to-Dino ===>	a bone.
Did	QST, IO:	Pebbles-gave-a-bone-	Pebbles give
Diņo		to-Dino ===>	a bone?
	D.L. PSV:	Wilma-cooked-the-	The steaks were
cooked, by		steaks ===>	
			Wilma.
Wer e	QST, PSV:	Wilma-cooked-the-	the sta x.
cooked		steaks ==>>	by
			Wilma?



Read each sentence to find the missing structural elements and them fill in the blanks.

Mas	QST:	Lucyangry ===>	Was Lucy angry?
	DCL, IO:	Charlie-gave-a-bone-	Charlie gave Snoopy
to		Snoopy ===>	a bone.
embarrassed	DCL, PSV:	Patty	Charlie was
		Charlie ===>	embarrassed by
			Patty.
something	QST-WH:	-was-	What was worrying
		worrying-Linus ==>>	Linus?
	QST-WH:	Snoopy-was-hiding-	Where was Snoopy
somewhere		=	hiding?
someone	QST-WH:	-offended-	Who offended Lucy?
•		Lucy ==>	
stole	QST, PSV:	Snoopythe-	Was the blanket
blanket		===>	stolen by Snoopy?

III. SUBORDINATED SENTENCES

Some structural elements in sentences are formed from SUBORDINATED SENTENCES.

	In "Betty sa	w the bird that bit Dino,"	the RELATIVE	
subordinated	CLAUSE (CLR) sentence.	that bit Dino comes from a	1	
that	Relative clauses often begin with who, which, or			
	Structural	Structural	Subordinated	
	Index	Elements	Sentence	
	CLR:	the-man-was-honest ===>	who was honest	
	CLR:	the-man-wrote-the-	which the man wrote	
		book ===>		
	CLR, PSV:	the-man-wrote-the-	which was written	
		book ===>	by the man	
	CLR:	We-saw-the-play ===>	that we saw	
	Complete the	following subordinated sen	ntences:	
	Structural	Structural		
	Index	Elements	Sentence	
sho	CLR:	the-boy-lost-the-	lost the paper	
		paper ===>		
which/that	CLR:	the-boy-lost-the-	the boy	
		paper ===>	lost.	



which/that	CLR, PSV:	the-boy-lost-the-	was lost by
boy		paper ==>>	the
мро	CLR:	the-boy-gave-the-paper	gave the
		to-his-friend ==>>	paper to his friend
khịch	CLR:	the-boy-gave-the-paper	the boy gave
his, friend		to-his-friend ===>	to
hyou	CLR:	the-boy-gave-the-paper	r- to the boy
		to-his-friend ==>>	give the paper
Who, his	CLR, IO:	the-boy-gave-the-paper	r- gave
friend		to-his-friend ===>	the paper
subordinațed	(CLN) that F	aid that Fred should go red should go comes fro	om a
t ḥa t	CLN: Barney	-lost-his-wallet ===>	Barney lost
			his wallet.
		to apologize was hard	the INFINITIVE PHRASE
sentence		eu to apologize comes	trom g suporarnated
	INF: Fred a	-° pologizes ===>	for Fred to
apologize	, ,		for Fred to
for, to	INF: Barney	-becomes-angry ===>	Barney
			become angry



In "Barney's dropping the ball pleased Fred," the GERUND PHRASE (GER) Barney's dropping the ball comes from a subordinated sentence.

dropping	GER:	Barney-dropped-the-ball ===> Barney's	
			the ball
Fred's	GER:	Fred-whistled ===>	whistling
	Compl	lete the following subordinate	d sentence:
that	CLN:	Fred-wrecked-the-car ==>>	Fred wrecked the
			car
for, to	INF:	Fred-wrecked-the-car ===>	Exect.
			wreck the one
Fred's	GER:	Fred-wrecked-the-car ===>	wrecking
			the car
that	CLR:	Fred-wrecked-the-car ==>>	Fred wrecked
	Subor	dinated sentences occur as el	ements of main
	sente	ences.	
Rjvó	DCL:	the-man [CLR: the-man-had-	The man had stolen
		stolen-the-ball saw-	the ball saw Fred.
		Fred ==>	
that	bcr:	Fred-knew-it [CLN: the-	Fred knew the
		man-had-seen-Fred] ==>	man had seen him.
man's	DCL:	It [GER: the-man-had-	The having seen
		seen-Fred] worried- Wilms = = =>	Fred worried Wilma.



For, to	DCL: It [INF: the-man-	the man
	remembered-Fred] was-	remember Fred was
	easy ===>	easy.
	Read the sentences below to find	the missing structural
	elements and then fill in the bla	uiks.
Sņoopy	DCL: Snoopy-wished-it [CLN:	-could-look-tough] ===
	Snoopy wished that he	could look tough.
the, boy	QST: Schroeder-is-the-boy [CLR:	plays-the-
	piano] ===>	
	Is Schroeder the boy w	ho plays the piano?
sleeps	DCL: it [INF; Linus	without-his-blanket]-
	is-hard ==⇒	
•	For Linus to sleep wit	hout his blanket is hard.
plays	DCL: it [GER: Schroeder	-the-piano]-pleases-
	Lucy ==⇒	
	Schroeder's playing th	e piano pleases Lucy,
<u>i</u> t	QST: Charlie-thought[CLN:	his-team-could-win-a-
	game] ===>>	
	Did Charlie think that	his team could win a
	game?	
the, girl	DCL: the-girl [CLR:	smiled-at-Charlie]-
	is-Patty ==⇒	
	The girl who smiled at	Charlie is Patty.



the, girl	QST: You-know-the-girl [CLR: Charlie-likes		
,	best] ===>		
	Do you know the girl that Charlie likes best?		
Charlie, speaks	QST: You-know-it [CLN:neverto-		
	her] == 美>		
	Do you know that Charlie never speaks to her?		
eats	DCL: Charlie-hates-it [INF: Snoopyso-fast] == =>		
	Charlie hates for Snoopy to eat so fast.		
Snoopy, pretends	QST: it [GER:to-be-a-pilot]		
	seems-strange ==⇒		
	Snoopy's pretending to be a pilot seems strange.		
	Min 1866 and the beautiful of the beauti		
	The difference between "That the boy was sleepy seemed		
	obvious" and "It seemed obvious that the boy was sleepy"		
•	is accounted for by a POSITION (PO) rule in the structural		
	index.		
	DCL: it [CLN: the-boy-was-sleepy]-seemed-obvious ==>		
	That the boy was sleepy seemed obvious.		
	DCL: it [CLN-PO: the-boy-was-sleepy]-seemed-obvious ===>		
	It seemed obvious that the boy was sleepy.		
	The difference between "For Jack to climb trees is easy"		
	and "It is easy for Jack to climb trees" is also accounted		
	for by a position rule.		



	DCL:	it [INF: 'Tack-climbs-trees]-is-easy ===>
		For Jack to climb trees is easy.
	DCL:	it [INF-PO: Jack-climbs-trees]-is-easy ==>>
	pcu.	
		It is easy for Jack to climb trees.
	Comple	te the following sentence derivations.
	DCL:	it [INF: Lucy-fools-Charlie]-is-easy ==>
For, fool		Lucy to Charlie is easy.
	DCL:	It [INF-PO: Lucy-fools-Charlie]-is-easy ==>
It, for		is easy Lucy to fool Charlie.
INF-PO	DCL:	it [: Charlie-believes-Lucy]-pleases-
		Lucy ===>
		It pleases Lucy for Charlie to believe her.
IHP	DCL:	it [: Charlie-believes-Lucy]-pleases Lucy ===
-		For Charlie to believe her pleases Lucy.
CLN	DCL:	it [: Charlie-believes-Lucy]-pleases-Lucy ===
		That Charlie believes her pleases Lucy.
CĽŃ-ĠO	DCL:	it [: Charlie-believes-Lucy]-pleases-
		Lucy ===>
		It pleases Lucy that Charlie believes her.
QST, CLN-PO	:	it [: Charlie-believes-Lucy]-pleases-
		Lucy ===>
•		Does it please Lucy that Charlie believes her?
INF-PO	QST:	it [: Charlie-believes-Lucy]-pleases-
	·e	Lucy ===>
		Does it please Lucy for Charlie to believe her



In some sentences, elements not present in the underlying

	structure are added to the surface structure For, to,
	and that are added elements in sentences such as the
	following:
	DCL: it [INF, PO: Snoopy-sleeps] is-easy ===>
for, to	It is easy Snoopy sleep.
	DCL: it [CLN, PO: Snoopy-is-sleepy] is-obvious ===>
that	It is obvious Snoopy is sleepy.
	In some sentences, elements present in the underlying
	structure are deleted in the surface structure. In the
	following example it is deleted in surface structure.
	DCL: it [GER: Charlie-pitched] displeased-Lucy ===>
	Charlie's pitching displeased Lucy.
	The indefinite pronoun someone is often deleted:
someone	DCL: it [GER:swims] is-fun ==>
	Swimming is fun.
	If the same noun occurs more than once, it is sometimes
	replaced by a pronoun and sometimes deleted:
	DCL: Fred-said-it [CIN: Fred-could-throw-the-ball] ===>
he	Fred said that could throw the ball.
	DCL: Fred-decided-it [INF:would-throw-the-
	ball] ===>
	Fred decided to throw the ball.



	Ind man standing on the corner is a detective,"
	standing on the corner is an ABRIDGED RELATIVE CLAUSE
	(CLR-AB).
	CLR: the-man-is-standing-on-the-corner ===>
	who is standing on the corner
	CLR-AB: the-man-is-standing-on-the corner ===>
	standing on the corner
	CLR: the-boy-is-on-the-Honda ===>
	Who is on the Honda
	CLR-AB: the-boy-is-on-the-Honda ===>
	on the Honda
	Complete the following sentence derivations:
	DCL: the-man [CLR-AB: the-man-is-riding-the-
	horse] is-Cowboy Bob ===>
riding, the, horse	The man is Cowboy
	Bob.
the, boy, is	DCL: the-boy [CLR-AB:climbing-the-
	tree]-is-Dennis ===>
	The boy climbing the tree is Dennis
the, girl, is	DCL: the-girl [CLR-AB:on-the-bike]
	is-Margaret ==⇒
	The girl on the bike is Margaret
	DCL: the-boy [CLR-AB: the-boy-is-under-tne-porch]-is-
	Joey ===>
under, the, porch	The boy is Joey.



CĽB	DCL: the-lady [: the-lady-is-smiling-at-Dennis]-
	is-Mrs. Wilson ===>
	The lady who is smiling at Dennis is Mrs.
	Wilson.
CLR: AB	DCL: the-man [: the-man-is-yelling-at-Dennis]-
	is-Mr. Wilson ===>
	The man yelling at Dennis is Mr. Wilson.
	"The tall boy plays basketball" is similar in meaning to
	"The boy who is tall plays basketball." An adjective like
	tall before a noun comes from an underlying structure
	similar to that of the Relative Clause. The structural
	index for an adjective before a noun is ADJ.
	DCL: the-boy [CLR: the-boy-is-tall]-plays-basketball ===
	The boy who is tall plays basketball.
	DCL: the-boy [ADJ: the-boy-is-tall]-plays-basketball ===
	The tall boy plays basketball.
	Complete the following sentence derivations.
	DCL: the-boy [ADJ: the-boy-is-short]-is-Dennis ===>
short, boy	The is Dennis.
the, man, is	DCL: the-man [ADJ:tall]-is-Mr.
	Mitchell ===>
	The tall man is Mr. Mitchell,
ADJ.	QST: the-man [: the-man-is-angry]-is-Mr. Wilson ==>
	Is the angry man Mr. Wilson?



DCL, PSV: the-boy [ADJ: the-boy- -]-caused-ais, little lot-of-trouble ==⇒ A lot of trouble was caused by the little boy. ADJ DCL: the-lady [: the-lady-is-pretty]-is-Mrs. Mitchell ===> The pretty lady is Mrs. Mitchell. In "My father, who is an English teacher, taught me grammar," who is an English teacher is a special kind of Relative Clause. It is called an APPOSITIVE CLAUSE (CLR-APP). In "My father, an English teacher, taught me grammar," an English teacher is an APPOSITIVE PHRASE (APP). The appositive phrase and the appositive clause are similar in underlying structure. DCL: My-father-taught-me-grammar + [CLR-APP: My-fatheris-an-English-teacher] ==>> My father, who is an English teacher, taught me grammar. DCL: My-father-taught-me-grammar + [APP: My-father-isan-English-teacher] ===> My father, an English teacher, taught me grammar. Complete the following sentence derivations. DCL: the-man [CLR: ____-taught-me-composition] the, man is-my-father ===> Who The man ____ taught me composition is my father.



My	DCL:	My-father-laught-me-composition + [CLR-APP:
father		-is-a-good-teacher] ===>
мµо		My father, is a good teacher, taught me
		composition.
My, father	DCL:	My-father-taught-me-composition + [APP:
is		a-good-teacher] ===>
a, good, teacher		My father,, taught me
		composition.
the, man	DCL:	My-father-is-the-man [CLR:taught-me
		composition] ==>
who		My father is the man taught me composition.
this	DCL:	this-book-is-a-dictionary + [CLR-APP: I-use
book		often] ===>
which		This book, I use often, is a
		dictionary.
this, book	DCL:	I-use-this-book-often + [CLR-APP:
		is-a-dictionary] ===>
which		I often use this book, is a dictionary.
this, book, is	DCL,P	SV: I-use-this-book-often + [APP:
		a-dictionary] ===>
a, dictionary		This book,, is used colea
		by me.



"Tommy became sleepy while he was waiting for the train" is similar in underlying structure to "Tommy became sleepy while waiting for the train," while he was waiting for the train is an ADVERBIAL CLAUSE (CLA). While waiting for the train is an abridged adverbial clause (CLA-AB).

CLA: Tommy-was-waiting-for-the-train ===>

While he was waiting for the train

CLA-AB: Tommy-was-waiting-for-the-train ===>

While waiting for the train

Complete the following sentence derivations.

DCL: I-listen-to-the-radio-during-some-time [CLA: Istudy-at-the-time] ==>

While

I listen to the radio _____ I am studying.

DCL: I-listen-to-the-radio-during-some-time [CLA-AB:

Ι

____study-at-the-time] ===>

While

I listen to the radio studying.

DCL: I-do-my-homework-before-some-time [CLA: I-go-

to-bed-at-the-time] ==>

before

I do my homework _____ I go to bed.

DCL: I-do-my-homework-before-some-time [CLA-AB: I-go-

to-bed-at-the-time] ===>

going

I do my homework before _____ to bed.

An adverbial clause can be moved to the front of a sentence by a position rule.



DCL:	I-eat-my-breakfast-after-some-time [CLA: I-get-
	up-at-the-time] ===>
	I eat my breakfast after I get up.
DCL	I-eat-my-breakfast-after-some-time [CLA, PO: I-
	get-up-at-the-managers
	After I get up, I eat my breakfast.
time DCL	Lucy-becomes-angry-at-some[CLA: Snoopy-
•	kisses-Lucy-at-the-time] ===>
	Lucy becomes angry when Snoopy kisses her
DCL	Lucy-becomes-angry-at-some-time [CLA, PO: Snoopy-
time	kisses-Lucy-at-the] ===>
∯hen	Snoopy kisses her, Lucy becomes angry.
DCL	Snoopy-became-hungry-during-some-time [CLA: Snoopy-
waiting	wasfor-Charlie-at-the-time] ==>
while	Snoopy became hungry he was waiting
	for Charlie.
DCL	: Snoopy-became-hungry-during-some-time [CLA-AB:
	Snoopy-was-waiting-for-Charlie-at-the-time] ===>
waiting	Snoopy became hungry while for
	Charlie.
during DCL	: Snoopy-became-hungrysome-time [CLA-AB, PO
	Snoopy-was-waiting-for-Charlie-at-the-time] ==>
Whi le	waiting for Charlie, Snoopy became
	hungry.



Some sentences can be interpreted in more than one way.

For example, "Racing cars can be exciting" may refer to cars that are racing or to someone's racing of cars.

Such sentences are made possible by the fact that we can sometimes put two different underlying structures the same way in surface structure.

DCL: cars [CLR-AB: cars-are-racing]-can-be-exciting ===>

Racing cars can be exciting.

DCL: it [GER: Someone-races-cars]-can-be-exciting ===>

Racing cars can be exciting.

Complete the following sentences.

DCL: it [CLN, PO: the-policemen-were-shooting-something]frightened-the-boys ===>

It, that

___ frightened the boys ____ the policemen

were shooting something.

DCL: it [CLN, PO: someone-was-shooting-the-policemen]frightened-the-boys ===>

It, that

frightened the boys _____someone was

shooting the policemen.

policemen

DCL: it [GER: the - were-shooting-something]-

frightened-the-boys ===>

The shooting of the policemen frightened the boys.



someone	DCL:	it [GER:was-shooting-the-policemen]
		frightened-the-boys ==>
		The shooting of the policemen frightened the
		boys.
Sammy	DCL:	Sammy-likes-it [INF:grows-plants] ==>
		Sammy likes to grow plants.
plants	DCL:	Sammy-likes-plants [CLR:are-growing] ===>
		Sammy likes plants that are growing.
Sammy	DCL:	Sammy-likes-it[GER:grows-plants] ==>
		Sammy likes growing plants.
plants	DCL:	Sammy-likes-plants [CLR-AB:are-growing] ===>
		Sarmy likes growing plants.



English Sentence Structure, Test 1

Dμ			name:
_			•
I.	Rea	ad the following sentences:	
	Α.	The boys gave the book to the	teacher.
	B.	The boys gave the teacher the	
	c.	The book was given to the tea	
	D.	The boys were given the book	by the teacher.
	1,	The subject in sentence A is	-
	2,		is
	3.	The adverbial in sentence A i	.s
	4.	The direct object in sentence	B is
	5.	The indirect object in senten	ce B is
	6.	The two sentences that are pa	ssive in form are
		and	•
	7,	The three sentences that are	most alike in their underlying structure
		are	. , and
ΙĮ,	Wrļ	te a sentence from each of the	underlying structures represented below.
		structural	structural
		index	elements
	1.	DCL	someone-ate-the-cookies == >
	2.	QST-WH	<pre>someone-ate-the-cookies ==></pre>
	з,	DCL-PSV	Susie-baked-the-cookies ==>
	# ,	DCT-10	Susie-gave-the-cookies-to-him ==>
	5,	QST-IQ	Susie-gave-the-cookies-to-him ==>



III. Write a sentence from each of the underlying structures represented below.

- 1. DCL: Patty-is-the-girl CLR: the-girl-smiled-at-Charlie ==>
- 2. QST: Patty-is-the-girl CLR: the-girl-smiled-at-Charlie ==>
- 3. DCL: it INF: Charlie-fools-Lucy -is-hard ==>
- 4, DCL: it GER: Schroeder-plays-the-piano -pleases-Lucy ==>
- 5, DCL: it CLN: the-boy-was-angry -seemed-obvious ==>

English Sentence Structure, Test 2

Date:			Name:	
I.			sentence from each of the underlying structures represented below.	
	1.	DCL:	it [INF: Snoopy-howls] -is-easy ==)	
	2.	DCL:	it INF, PO: Snoopy-howls -is-easy ==>	
	3.	DCL:	it CLN: Snoopy-howls -is-obvious ==>	
	4.	DCL:	it [CLN, PO: Snoopy-howls] -is-obvious ==>	
	5.	PCL:	the-boy CLR: the-boy-is-big -plays-football>	
	6.	DCL:	the-boy ADJ: the-boy-is-big -plays-football ==>	
	7.	DCL:	My-father-teaches-mathematics ELR, APP: My-father-is-a-former-engineer ==>	
	ช.	DCL:	<pre>My-father-teaches-matics</pre>	
	9.	DCL:	I-read-my-literature-assignment-before-some-time CLA: I-went-to-bed-at-the-time ==>	
	10.	DCL:	I-read-my-literature-assignment-before-some-time CLA, AB: I-went-to-bed-at-the-time ==>	

- II. Rewrite each of the following sentences changing the surface structure but not the underlying structure.
 - 1. A big man can work hard.
 - 2. That he is strong is obvious.
 - 3. She gave the paper to me.
 - 4. John wrote the paper.
 - 5. I tried to write the paper while watching TV.
 - 6. It is easy for her to laugh at us.



- III. Rewrite each of the following sentences so that it can be interpreted in only one way.
 - 1. The shooting of the hunters scared me.
 - 2. Sailing boats can be dangerous.
 - 3. The boys like flying kites.
 - 4. The teacher spoke to the girl with a smile on her face.

Bibliography

- O'Donnell, Roy C. and F. J. King, 1971. "An Exploration of Deep Structure Recovery as a Means of Improving Reading Comprehension." Report to the Research Foundation of the National Council of Teachers of English: Urbana, Illinois.
- O'Donnell, Roy C. and William L. Smith, 1973. "Use of an Instructional Module to Heighten Awareness of Syntactic Structure." Studies in Language Education, Report No. 3, Department of Language Education, The University of Georgia: Athens, Georgia.
- Simons, Herbert D., 1970. "The Relationship between Aspects of Linguistic Performance and Reading Comprehension." Doctoral Dissertation, Graduate School of Education, Harvard University: Cambridge, Massachusetts.